| No. 20 To | , 589 /LGH | dated Lahore the 12.3 | _2017 |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------|
| | The Secretary, | | |
| | Health Department, | | |
| | Govt. of the Punjab, Lahore | | |
| Attention: | Mr. Abdul Wahab | | |
| | (Focal Person) PPRA Website, | | |
| | Health Department, | | |
| | Govt. of the Punjab, Lahore. | | |
| Subject: | MINUTES OF GRIEVANCE | COMMITTEE MEETING. | |
| | With reference to the subject ci | ted above. | |
| | It is therefore kindly requested | to issue instruction to the focal person o | f PPRA |
| Website to | upload the minutes of Grievance | Committee at official PPRA Website | for the |
| Medical equ | uipments and others items throu | igh ADP schemes for this hospital (| Minutes |
| attached 16- | -02-2018). | | |
| | | | |
| | 11 (1) | | |
| | | Medical Superintendent | |
| | | Lahore General Hospital | |
| No. | /LGH date | ed Lahore the | 2017 |
| | orwarded for information to the:- | Janoie and | |
| 2 0 | cipal, PGMI/ LGH, Lahore. | | |
| | S (Hquip), LGH, Lahore. | | |
| | Rashid Mansoor, Computer Prog site of Lahore General Hospital, L | grammer (With direction to upload on ahore). | official |
| | | | |
| | | Medical Superintendent | |

Medical Superintendent Lahore General Hospital Lahore

e:\tender noticedocx

LAHORE GENERAL HOSPITAL, LAHORE

1. Grievance committee meeting was held on \$16-02-2018, under the chairmanship of Prof. Dr. Farhat Naz, Prof. of Gynae to resolve the grievances submitted by the firms, for the purchase of medical equipment through ADP Scheme Rehabilitation of Phase-I, Cardiac Department, PINS Phase-III.

2. Following committee members attended the meeting:

Prof. Dr. Farah Shafi, Prof. of Medicine

Dr. Yawar Sajjad, Assistant Prof. Plastic Surgery

Dr. Qaiser Parveen, Private Member

Dr. Arif Shahzad Bhatti, Private Member

Dr. Syed Ali Natiq Mehdi Zaidi, EC/ Biomedical Engineer

3. The following items were discussed:-

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Color Doppler Ultrasound Machine Rehabilitation Phase-I | M/S Biotech Serivces | MyLabSeven, Esaote S.p.A, | M/S Medequips has submitted presentation that M/S Biotech Services doesnot have shearwave propagation, and upgrade ability of 4D linear probe in their quoted system. Representative of M/S Biotech Services was asked to show these items in their product literature, which they demonstrated to committee satisfaction. | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S Shirazi Trading | Healthcare, USA | M/S Medequips has submitted presentation that M/S Shirazi Trading doesnot have shearwave propagation in their quoted system. Representative of M/S Shirazi Trading was asked to show this item in their product literature, which they demonstrated to committee satisfaction. | Grievance not accepted. |

bud Lana Solv for I fort

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2 | 2 Static X-ray Machine Ceiling mounted Rehabilitation Phase-I | M/S Eastern Medical | Camargue, Apelem, France & Compact 2, Protech GmbH, Germany | M/S Eastern Medical has submitted presentation that their bid was declared non responsive due to following: - Model of automatic Exposure control is not mentioned. - Model of Chest Stand with Bucky is not mentioned. - Model of Collimator is not mentioned Representative of the firm was asked to show this item in their product literature, which they failed to demonstrate to committee satisfaction. | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S Medequips | MRAD-A50S, Toshiba Medical, Japan & Mediphot 943, Colenta | M/S Radiant Medical has submitted greviance against the offer of M/S Medequips stating that quoted film processor model Mediphot 943, manufactured by Colenta is not OEM manufacturer. Secondly, M/S Medequips is not exclusive distributor of M/S Colenta in Paksitan. Therefore offer of the Medequips should not be approved technically. The committee found that M/S Medequips has attached the OEM certificate of M/S Colenta in their bid and also attached Joint Venture with M/S Sial Traders, which is sole distributor of M/S Colenta. Hence the committee rejected the grievance of M/S Radiant Medical. | Grievance not accepted. |
| 3 | ECG Machine Rehabilitation Phase-I | M/S Radiant Medical | CP-150, Welch Allyn, USA | | |
| | | pilitation M/S Ozawa BTL-08LT, BTL | | | |
| | | M/S KASBN International | Euro ECG 12View, Lumed, Italy | | |
| | | Noor International | Cardiofax M ECG 2350, Nihon Kohden, Japan | Retendered due to dicom compatibility is needed in ECG Machine, therefore the tender will be readvertised after revising specifications. | Retendered |
| | | M/S Human Healthcare | man Kalamed | advertised after revising specifications. | |
| | | M/S Shirazi Trading M/S Orient | MAC 2000, GE Healthcare, USA Ascard Gold, | | |
| | | Medical Aspel, Poland M/S ECG 1350K, Nihon Medequips Kohden, Japan | | | |

bush Jana Side for Di

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 4 | Electronic Operating Table Rehabilitation | M/S Mediland Pakistan | Diamond 60BLK (170.6000.0), Schmitz, Germany | Grievance committee meeting decided that all OT tables which met the tender specifications would be declared as reponsive. | Responsive |
| | Phase-I | M/S Radiant Medical | Mars 2.02, Trumpf Medical, Germany | Grievance committee meeting decided that all OT tables which met the tender specifications would be declared as reponsive. | Responsive |
| | | M/S Vertex Medical | Promerix, Merivaara Corp., Finland | Grievance committee meeting decided that all OT tables which met the tender specifications would be declared as reponsive. | Responsive |
| | | M/S Orblynx | 8861 Pro ATS surgical table, Infinium Medical, USA | M/S Orblynx has submitted presentation that their bid was declared non responsive due to following: - Fixation Strap not quoted. - Adjustable rest pad not quoted. - Large width body strap not quoted. - Long leg extension - pair not quoted. - Adjustable foot rest - pair not quoted. - Liyod - Davies extension - pair not quoted. - Flexible arm extension for lateral position - pair not quoted. - Lithotomy pole - pair not quoted. - Kidney Elevator / Flex, Reflex not quoted. - Knee crutches with pad - pair not quoted. Representative of the firm was asked to show this item in their technical bid, which they failed to demonstrate to committee satisfaction. | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S Eastern Medical | MAT6000 S1, Medifa, Germany | Grievance committee meeting decided that all OT tables which met the tender specifications would be declared as reponsive. | Responsive |
| | | M/S Sigma International | Hyperion, Famed, Poland | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that their bid was rejected due to quoting two products on the receipt of one tender. Therefore, the committee is requested to considered their offer for the relevent tender receipt that is cardiac department for defibrillator with trolley. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and two tenders cannot be quoted in to one bid, therefore offer of the firm can not be accepted. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |

laush Sain Safer You L

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5 | Mobile Resusitation Trolley with Defibrillator Cardiac Department | M/S Sigma International | Reanibex-800, Osatu (Bexen Cardio), Spain & INOX, France Hospital, Italy | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that their bid was rejected due to quoting two products on the receipt of one tender. Therefore, the committee is requested to considered their offer for the relevent tender receipt that is cardiac department for defibrillator with trolley. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and two tenders cannot be quoted in to one bid, therefore offer of the firm can not be accepted. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| 6 | Operating Headlight PINS Phase-II | M/S Claris Medical | SSL 9000-II, Sunoptic Technologies, USA | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that some docuements were missing from the bid and same as pointed out by the technical advisory committee are attached with the presentation. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| 7 | X-ray Film Processor PINS Phase-II | M/S Human Healthcare | AX-390SE, Alphateck, USA | M/S Medi Urge has submitted greviance against the offer of M/S Human Healthcare stating that tender enquiry demands "High throughput more than 110 sheets 35x43 cm" whereas the quoted model has capacity only for up to 100 sheets of 35x43 cm. Secondly, the quoted model is not free standing type as the unit has simple stand which is not suitable for your institute as per requirement. Therefore offer of the M/S Human Healthcare should not be approved technically. The committee found that quoted model of M/S Human Healthcare meets the tender specifications and through put capacity is 120 films/ hours. Hence the committee rejected the grievance of M/S Medi Urge. | Grievance not accepted. |

lared Sava Sirver

full fall

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 8 | Patient Shifting Trofley PINS Phase-II | M/S Orblynx | 20-FP648, Vernipoll, Italy | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that some documents were missing from the bid and same as pointed out by the technical advisory committee are attached with the presentation. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | |
| | | M/S Bedequips | PK-80318, Paramount beds, Japan COO: Indonesia | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed the committee that wire basket is offered by their firm. The committee found that wire basket was being provided locally, whereas OEM wirebasket is demaned in specifications. Hence the committe decided that the decision of TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| 9 | Blood Warmer for PINS Phase- III | M/S Al Kareem | VE 1100, Veiele, Sweden | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the documents but the representative of the firm failed to do so. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S Noor International | Fluido Blood and Fluid Warming System, The Surgical Company, Netherlands | The committee called representative of firm and asked to justify points as described by TAC. The representative of the firm verified the visual & audible alarm from operating manual and requested committee to consider authorization letter of manufacturer as attached with presentation. The committee accepted the technical deviation of visual and audio alarm, but remarked regarding the authorization letter that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | |

lacate dans Line

1- Yaw

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | for PINS Phase- | M/S Human Healthcare | 6110-A1 Max, Siem Nova, Italy | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the documents but the representative of the firm failed to do so. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| 1 | 1 Haematology Analyzer | M/S Sind Medical | M S 4 s, Melet Schloesing Laboratories, France | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the documents but the representative of the firm failed to do so. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S Human Healthcare | GS5, Giesse Diagnostics, Italy | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S S Ejazuddin | Sysmex 6 part, Sysmex Corp., Japan | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the documents but the representative of the firm failed to do so. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAN stands valid. | Grievand not accepted |

larah Jawa Sitor

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 12 | CBC Analyzer | M/S Sind Medical | M S 4 s, Melet Schloesing Laboratories, France | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the documents but the representative of the firm failed to do so. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| | | M/S S Ejazuddin | Sysmex 6 part, Sysmex Corp., Japan | The committee called the representative of the firm to explain their grievance. He informed that documents pointed out by the technical advisory committee were attached with technical bid and same are attached with the presentation for ready reference. Hence the committee is requested to consider their bid. The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the documents but the representative of the firm failed to do so. The committee remarked that your firm was responsible to prepare the bid as per terms & conditions mentioned in the bidding documents and documents should be attached with the bid and can not be accepted on later stage. Hence, the decision of the TAC stands valid. | Grievance not accepted. |
| 13 | Centrifuge Machine | M/S Human Healthcare | NE 030GT/ I+R030- 2, Nickel-Electro Ltd, UK | The committee handed over the bid to the representative of firm for verification of the | Grievance not accepted. |

bush sain Sitivi A Mau

| Sr # | Name of Equipment | Name of Firm | Model/Make | Remarks | Decision |
|---------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 14 | Neuro Surgery Instruments | M/S Coral Medical | Medicon, Germany | The committee called the representative of the firms to explain their grievance. They informed that their technical bids were declared non responsive with the comments "Incomplete Quotation" and requested to re-evaluate their offers. The committee informed the firms that tender specification were made according to end users requirements, and according to evaluation they had failed to meet the tender requirements as | Decision |
| | | M/S Claris Medical | ASANUS Medizintechnik, Germany | advertised and as per Bidding Documents Rule 6.1, Seven (07) days are given to submit representation against advertised specifications, which they have failed to do so. The committee asked End users to submit detailed report regarding suitability of instruments for their purposes to be discussed in the next meeting. | pending |

Prof. Dr. Farhat Naz, Prof. of Gynae

Prof. Dr. Farah Shafi, Prof. of Medicine

Dr. Yawar Sajjad, Assistant Prof. Plastic Surgery

August Surgery

Dr. Qaiser Parveen, Private Member

Dr. Arif Shahzad Bhatti, Private Member

Dr. Syed Ali Natiq Mehdi Zaidi, EC/ Biomedical Engineer